



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/539,415 | 03/20/2006 | Dharmaraj Ramachandra Rao | PAC/EHC/20668 US (4137-05) | 2363 |
| 30652 | 7590 | 04/27/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| CONLEY ROSE, P.C. 5601 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 750 PLANO, TX 75024 | | | CHU, YONG LIANG | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1626 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/27/2009 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/539,415

Applicant(s)

RAO ET AL.

Examiner

YONG CHU

Art Unit

1626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YONG CHU.(3) Emily Cottrill.(2) Rodney B. Carroll.(4) Jerry Walker.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes, as cited in the previous final Office action.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Two issues which may render the instant application non-obvious over the cited prior art were raised by Applicants during the interview: 1) at which stage the chiral resolution procedure applies; 2) the purity of the desired (+)-duloxetine product made by the instantly claimed invention. Applicants will provide more information for the Examiner to consider after this interview.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yong Chu/
Patent Examiner, AU1626